UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,992	09/10/2003	A. Paul Alivisatos	014939-006300US	4648
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAMINER	
			KILIMAN, LESZEK B	
	EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/659,992	ALIVISATOS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	leszek b. kiliman	1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7-29-	08				
	action is non-final.				
·=	/ 				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
dicocca in accordance with the practice and in	x parte gadyle, 1000 0.D. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 40-50 and 52-65 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 40-50,52-65 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 40-50,52-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alivisatos'198 in view of Bewendi'901.

The applied Alivisatos' 198 reference teaches that it is well known in the art to make and use semiconductor nanorod. The applied Alivisatos' 198 discloses that rods of semiconductor nanocrystals of control dimensions could be formed in a controllable and repeatable manner. The applied reference also teaches the claimed materials for core of the rods. In addition the applied reference clearly teaches that growth of spherical semiconductor nanoparticles can be controlled in the similar process. See abstract, column 2, lines 9-20, column 2, lines 44-67, claims.

The applied Alivisatos' 198 does not specifically teach that nanoparticles may be coated with at least two monolayers. However, The Bewendi'901 reference teaches that it is well known in the art to apply coatings to semiconductor nanoparticles. The Bewendi'901 also clearly states that materials used for cores and monolayers may be varied. See abstract, column 7, lines 30-67, column 8, lines 11-59.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to coat Alivistos' 198 rod semiconductor nanocrystals with monolayers of Bewendi'901 and optimize composition and structure of such monolayers, since it would increase quantum yield of nanorods. See column 7, lines 52-62 of Bewendi'901. It would have been obvious to use the nanorods in barcode and optimize the structure of the barcode, since emission response of nanoparticles are well known in the art.

The amendments and remarks filled by applicants in their last response have been fully considered. The claims, however, remain unpatentable in view of the new grounds of rejections. The allowability of the claims, previously indicated, is hereby withdrawn.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to leszek b. kiliman whose telephone number is 571-272-1509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, 6.30-5.00.

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, milton cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lk

/leszek b kiliman/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794